

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/667,795 | 09/22/2003 | Zhongmin Hu | SEMT119899 | 9565 | |
| 26389 | 7590 12/12/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347 | | | ALEXANDER, MICHAEL P | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1742 | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | | \mathcal{U} |
|--|---|---|---------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/667,795 | HU ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| 7. MAII III O DATE AND I | Michael P. Alexander | 1742 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oc | <u>ctober 2005</u> . | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⚠ This | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pro | osecution as to the merits is | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 15-28 and 35-38 is/are pending in the | application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | • | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-28 and 35-38</u> are subject to restric | tion and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | epted or b) objected to by the I | Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) |). |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | ion No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • • | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | | ∍d. | ! |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | |
| | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-34 were originally filed with the application. The Examiner sent out a restriction requirement. Thereafter the applicant elected the process claims of Group II (claims 15-28) and added claims 35-38, which are also directed to the process. However, the new claims of 35-38 are directed to a different species of the process. Therefore, a second restriction requirement is necessary.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: an etching process using an iodide bath and an etching process using a chloride bath.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 15 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/667,795

Art Unit: 1742

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Jeffrey Sakoi on 4 November 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-8558. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,795 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V. King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROY KING SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

71/9 mpa